



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Acad Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 07.

Published in final edited form as:

Acad Med. 2016 December ; 91(12): e10. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000001442.

Responsible Conduct of Research Education (What, Why, and Does it Work?)

Michael Kalichman, PhD

Director, Research Ethics Program, University of California, San Diego

Responsible conduct of research (RCR) education is often required and described as important.^{1,2} One of the major reasons is concern about research misconduct, but arguably even more important is simply the desire to foster good research practices. In either case, providing RCR education is not only good for science but consistent with an obligation to the society served by science.

What is RCR?

RCR is often considered synonymous with the list of topics recommended by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for researchers supported by NIH training or career development awards, although the scope of that requirement has varied over time.¹

Current NIH guidelines list nine RCR topics:		Depending on research domains and experience, additional topics of as much or more importance to RCR might include:	
1	Conflict of interest	•	Conflicts of conscience
2	Human and animal subjects	•	Sabotage
3	Mentoring	•	Use of statistics
4	Collaboration	•	Image manipulation
5	Peer review	•	Reproducibility
6	Data management	•	Censorship
7	Research misconduct	•	Scientists as activists
8	Authorship and publication	•	Deception
9	Scientists and society		•
			Difficult conversations
			Big data
			Embryonic stem cells
			Dual use technology
			Weapons research
			Managing a research group
			Managing budgets ... etc.

Why teach RCR?

Without explicit goals for teaching RCR, questions such as, “*How should educators teach RCR?*”—much less, “*Is RCR teaching effective?*”—are impossible to answer. Unfortunately, no easy answers as to what the goals are, or even what they should be, exist. A nominal answer is that RCR should be taught because it is required, at least for some people by federal agencies. However, that begs the question, *Why should RCR education be required?*

Author contact: mkalichman@ucsd.edu.

Examples of the range of possible outcomes for teaching RCR include²

-
- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decrease research misconduct • Decrease RCR disputes or misunderstandings • Increase particular areas of RCR knowledge | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop or improve skills that will promote RCR • Foster positive attitudes about RCR and continued RCR learning • Promote a culture of RCR |
|--|---|
-

Does teaching RCR work? It depends on the desired outcome

Outcome	Effectiveness
Decreased research misconduct	No evidence, ¹ but access to data is limited by what information becomes public. Even if more data were available, a significant impact is unlikely since the classroom appears to be less important than the research environment. ³
Decreased RCR disputes or misunderstandings	No evidence, but it is plausible that increased awareness of issues will diminish the risk of disputes and misunderstandings.
Increased knowledge and/or skills	Evidence is nominal. Although statistically significant improvements have been reported (e.g., for ethical decision-making), the magnitude of the impact of teaching RCR on knowledge and skills is typically modest, absent, or negative. ^{1,4}
Positive attitudes, continued learning, and culture of RCR	<i>Individual impact:</i> Evidence is promising, but must allow for different individuals experiencing different impacts (e.g., improved ethical decision-making skill or increased awareness of authorship standards). ⁵ <i>Group impact:</i> Some evidence suggests successful fostering of a culture of integrity based on the extent to which trainees continue conversations outside the classroom (Kalichman and colleagues, unpublished observations).

Evidence is unclear; some evidence for effectiveness.

References

1. Kalichman, M. Practical Guidance on Science and Engineering Ethics Education for Instructors and Administrators: Papers and Summary from a Workshop. National Academies Press; Washington, DC: 2013. Why do we teach research ethics?; p. 5-16.
2. Kalichman MW, Plemmons DK. Reported goals for responsible conduct of research courses. *Acad Med.* 2007; 82:846–852. [PubMed: 17726389]
3. Anderson MS, Horn AS, Risbey KR, et al. What do mentoring and training in the responsible conduct of research have to do with scientists' misbehavior? Findings from a national survey of NIH-funded scientists. *Acad Med.* 2007; 82:853–860. [PubMed: 17726390]
4. Antes AL, Murphy ST, Waples EP, et al. A meta-analysis of ethics instruction effectiveness in the sciences. *Ethics Behav.* 2009; 19:379–402. [PubMed: 19838311]
5. McGee R, Almquist J, Keller JL, Jacobsen SJ. Teaching and learning responsible research conduct: Influences of prior experiences on acceptance of new ideas. *Account Res.* 2008; 15:30–62. [PubMed: 18298028]